UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/810,330	03/25/2004	Eitan Konstantino	021770-000120US	8217
	7590 09/19/200 AND TOWNSEND AN	EXAMINER		
TWO EMBAR	CADERO CENTER	NGUYEN, VI X		
EIGHTH FLOOR SAN FRANCISCO, CA 94111-3834			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3734	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			09/19/2008	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)	
		10/810,330	KONSTANTINO E	ET AL.
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
		Victor X. Nguyen	3734	
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication a or Reply	ppears on the cover sheet with	the correspondence ad	ddress
A SHO WHIC - Exter after - If NO - Failui Any r	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPETHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING asions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory perioe to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by state eply received by the Office later than three months after the mailed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMUNIC, 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reput will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTIFULE, cause the application to become ABA	ATION.  Ity be timely filed  Its from the mailing date of this condoned (35 U.S.C. § 133).	,
Status				
2a)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 19 This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) The Since this application is in condition for allow closed in accordance with the practice under	nis action is non-final.  vance except for formal matte	• •	e merits is
Dispositi	on of Claims			
5)□ 6)⊠ 7)□ 8)□ <b>Applicati</b> 9)□	Claim(s) 60-64,69 and 70 is/are pending in the first specification is objected to by the Examination on Papers  Claim(s) 60-64,69 and 70 is/are rejected.  Claim(s) 60-64,69 and 70 is/are rejected.  Claim(s) 10-10-10-10-10-10-10-10-10-10-10-10-10-1	rawn from consideration.  /or election requirement.	v the Examiner	
_	Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct the oath or declaration is objected to by the	e drawing(s) be held in abeyancection is required if the drawing(s	e. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). ) is objected to. See 37 Cl	
Priority u	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119			
a)[	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreignal All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority docume 2. Certified copies of the priority docume 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority docume application from the International Buresee the attached detailed Office action for a li	nts have been received. nts have been received in Ap iority documents have been re au (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	plication No eceived in this National	Stage
2)  Notic 3) Inforr	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) r No(s)/Mail Date	Paper No(s)/	mmary (PTO-413) Mail Date ormal Patent Application -	

Application/Control Number: 10/810,330 Page 2

Art Unit: 3734

## **DETAILED ACTION**

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2. Claims 60-64 and 69-70 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Evans et al (US 2002/0010487) in view of Dror et al (5,102,402).
- 3. Evans et al disclose in figures 2-4, a method for collecting, and removing thrombus from blood vessels, including: scoring the lesion with a scoring structure 19 comprising metal scoring elements 30 which carried by an expansible balloon (see paragraph 68 and 80), and wherein the balloon is expanded to engage the scoring elements against stenotic material in the lesion to cut the stenotic material (see paragraph 65 and 82). Regarding to the recitation a method of delivering a drug to a blood vessel lesion has not been given patentable weight because the recitation occurs in the preamble. A preamble is generally not accorded any patentable weight where it merely recites the purpose of a process or the intended use of a structure, and where the body of the claim does not depend on the preamble for completeness but, instead, the process steps or structural limitations are able to stand alone. See *In re Hirao*, 535 F.2d 67, 190 USPQ 15 (CCPA 1976) and *Kropa v. Robie*, 187 F.2d 150, 152, 88 USPQ 478, 481 (CCPA 1951).
- 4. Evans is silent regarding the step of releasing a drug into the lesion.
- 5. Dror teaches the step of releasing a drug into the lesion (see col. 2, lines 7-37).

Application/Control Number: 10/810,330 Page 3

Art Unit: 3734

6. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify Evans by releasing a drug into the lesion as taught by Dror in order to produce affecting drugs or diagnostic materials exactly where it is needed. Evan further teaches wherein the metal scoring elements are included in a scoring cage carried over but not attached to the expansible balloon (see fig. 2). At best in fig. 4, Evan teaches the scoring elements in the scoring cage which are arranged helically over the balloon. As to claims 61-64, Dror teaches the drug is carried by the balloon 12 as a platform, where the drug is present in capsules 16 or in a drug containing polymer (see col. 2, line 11).

## Response to Arguments

7. Applicant's arguments filed 5/19/2008 have been considered but are most in view of the new ground(s) of rejection. Applicant is asked to please refer to the modified prior art rejections above where examiner addresses applicant's concerns regarding prior art rejection.

## Conclusion

8. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Victor X. Nguyen whose telephone number is (571) 272-4699. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F (8-4.30 P.M).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Ho Jackie can be reached on (571) 272-4697. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/810,330 Page 4

Art Unit: 3734

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/Kevin T. Truong/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 3734 /Victor X Nguyen/ Examiner Art Unit 3734

VN 9/12/2008